1

2

3

5

67

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

2526

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AG. G. a minor, by and through his guardian ad litem, JESSICA AQUINO; AR. G., a minor, by and through his guardian ad litem, JESSICA AQUINO; KARLA GONSALEZ, individually; and AUGUSTIN GONZALES JR., individually;

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CITY OF HAYWARD, a municipal corporation; MARK KOLLER, individually; PHILLIP WOOLEY, individually; MICHAEL CLARK, individually; TASHA DECOSTA, individually; and DOES 1-100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 4:19-cv-00697 DMR

DECLARATION OF BENJAMIN NISENBAUM IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Date: July 9, 2020 Time: 1:00 p.m. Courtroom: 4

Hon. Donna M. Ryu

EXHIBIT B

Declaration of Benjam Nisenbaum in support of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment 1 GONSALEZ, et al. v. CITY OF HAYWARD, et al.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA --000--AG.G. a minor, by and through) his guardian ad litem, JESSICA) AQUINO; AR.G., a minor, by and) through his guardian ad litem,) JESSICA AQUINO; KARLA GONSALEZ) individually; and AUGUSTIN GONSALEZ, JR., individually, Plaintiffs, VS.) CASE NO.: 4:19-cv-00697 DMR CITY OF HAYWARD, a municipal corporation; MARK KOLLER, individually; PHILLIP WOOLEY,) individually; MICHAEL CLARK, individually; TASHA DECOSTA, individually; and DOES 1-100,) inclusive, Defendants. CERTIFIED COPY

DEPOSITION OF OFFICER PHILLIP WOOLEY
TUESDAY, MARCH 24, 2020

REPORTED BY: LISA M. BOSCHETTI-MAURINO, CSR #9389

1

1	DEPOSITION OF OFFICER PHILLIP WOOLEY
2	BE IT REMEMBERED that on Tuesday, March 24th,
3	2020, commencing at the hour of 10:00 a.m. thereof, at
4	the LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS, 7677 Oakport Street,
5	Suite 1120, Oakland, California 94621, before me, LISA
6	M. BOSCHETTI-MAURINO, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in
7	and for the State of California, personally appeared,
8	OFFICER PHILLIP WOOLEY, a witness in the above-entitled
9	court and cause, produced on behalf of the Plaintiffs
L 0	therein, who, being by me first duly sworn, was
L1	thereupon examined as a witness in said action.
L2	000
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L 6	
L7	
L8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	5

1	OFFICER PHILLIP WOOLEY,
2	having been sworn, was
3	examined and testified as follows:
4	
5	EXAMINATION BY MR. NISENBAUM
6	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Please state state and
7	spell your name. Normally I would be sitting right
8	across from you, but
9	A. Yeah, I understand, sir.
10	Q we're distancing.
11	A. My first name is Phillip, P-h-i-l-l-i-p. My
12	last name is Wooley, and it's W-o-o-l-e-y.
13	Q. All right. And I understand that you're
14	currently retired.
15	A. Technically, yes. I was hired back a few
16	weeks ago as a part-time police officer, but I'm
17	assigned to the dispatch center just to help out
18	training new dispatchers and filling up holes in the
19	schedule.
20	Q. Is that called double-dipping?
21	A. Kind of, sort of, yes.
22	Q. It means you get you're getting a pension
23	now, but you're also working on a impermanent basis?
24	A. Part-time.
25	Q. Part-time?
	6

1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Q. Okay. All right. And how long were you a
3	police officer?
4	A. 29 years. Well, let me rephrase that. 26
5	years as a police officer. I had three years as a
6	community service officer; it basically means I was in
7	jail at the City of Hayward.
8	Q. Okay. I was asked going to ask you next, was
9	all that time working for the City of Hayward?
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q. So the police academy you attended would have
12	been around 1990?
13	A. '89. The end of 1989.
14	Q. So what academy was that?
15	A. Los Medanos in Pittsburg. It was actually at
16	the community college there. Los Medanos Community
17	College.
18	Q. Right.
19	A. I had to remember that.
20	Q. I take it you've testified in court before?
21	A. Yes, sir.
22	Q. How many occasions would you estimate?
23	A. 50 to 70.
24	Q. Okay. And when you were at the police
25	academy, although it was a long time ago, and if you
	7

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. And you've been trained that tactical
3	decisions are those decisions that you make that lead up
4	to an ultimate use of force, correct?
5	MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
6	Leading.
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. And it's not
9	sufficient to say, well, the subject made the decisions,
10	it wasn't me. Your job is to be the one who makes the
11	decisions, correct?
12	MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Leading.
13	THE WITNESS: That's another question that's
14	difficult to answer, because the question you're asking
15	is taking things out of context. I make decisions, but
16	ultimately the decisions I made are predicated by what
17	the suspect does.
18	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Well, they are also
19	predicated by the information that you have, right?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And they are predicated by the force options
22	that are available to you, correct?
23	A. If you can use them.
24	Q. Sure. But and I'm I'll get to this in a
25	moment, but let me just back up a second.

```
1
               Are you familiar with the term "SWATTing"?
 2
         Α.
               Yes.
 3
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
 4
               THE WITNESS:
                             Yes, I am, sir.
 5
               MR. NISENBAUM: O. What is that?
 6
         Α.
               It's when people call in fake calls to have
 7
     the police department send their SWAT team out and
 8
     surround house they can sit across the street and laugh,
 9
     basically.
10
               Okay. And you've been -- you were familiar
         0.
11
     with that prior to this incident, correct?
12
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
13
     Leading.
14
               THE WITNESS:
                             Yes.
15
               MR. NISENBAUM: O. Okay. And I -- and I
16
     assume that that's just one context in which people can
17
     give inaccurate information to dispatch, correct?
18
         Α.
               Yes.
19
         0.
               People can give inaccurate information
20
     unintentionally to dispatch, correct?
21
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection.
                                         Lacks foundation.
22
     Leading.
               Calls for speculation.
23
               THE WITNESS:
                             Yes, sir.
24
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. And you knew that
25
     prior to this incident, correct?
                                                             40
```

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. So it was your understanding at the time of
3	this incident, that you might have information that came
4	in from other sources, but you should use your own eyes
5	and your owns ears as well, correct?
6	MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
7	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Your own senses?
8	MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.
10	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. And it was your
11	understanding that a caller had reported that
12	Mr. Gonsalez had attacked him or threatened him with a
13	knife in this incident, correct?
14	MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
15	Assumes facts not in evidence.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
17	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. And of course we later
18	learned he didn't have a knife, correct?
19	MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Leading. Lacks
20	foundation.
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.
22	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Yes, he did, or yes,
23	that's correct?
24	A. No. Yes, that's correct, yes, sir.
25	Q. Okay. In fact, what we learned was that he
	/1

```
1
    held a razor blade, a safety razor blade, right?
2
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
3
    Leading.
4
               THE WITNESS: I honestly don't recall what
5
    kind of razor blade it was.
6
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. You didn't -- did
7
    you see it after the incident?
8
        Α.
               Briefly.
9
         Q.
               Okay. Let me ask you this, and if we have to
10
    go under seal, I understand. But it would probably
11
    depend on what the answer is, and if you want a moment
12
    to consult for that purpose, I understand.
13
               Have you received any sort of a psychological
14
    counseling or anything of that nature in connection with
15
    this instance?
16
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Calls for
17
     information protected by the doctor/patient privilege,
18
     and the therapist/patient privilege.
19
               Don't answer.
20
               MR. NISENBAUM:
                               No.
                                    That depends on what the
21
     answer is. I'm entitled to an answer. The question of
22
    whether or not -- you know, whether I can get into the
23
     substance of it is a different question. But, you know,
24
     so...
25
               MR. VIGILIA: What's the question again?
                                                             42
```

1	you think that Mr. Gonsalez was approaching you with a
2	knife?
3	
	A. Yes, I did.
4	Q. Okay. Did you see a knife?
5	A. I saw something, I wasn't quite sure what it
6	was.
7	Q. Okay. And your vision is fine, right?
8	A. That is correct.
9	Q. And what time of day did this happen?
LO	A. It was nighttime.
L1	Q. Okay. Was there lighting on Mr. Gonsalez?
L2	A. There was from the headlights of my car, the
L3	patrol car.
L 4	Q. Okay. And the knife that you thought you saw,
L 5	describe what you thought you saw.
L6	A. I saw a quick glint of some something that
L7	was metallic. But you also have to understand what was
L 8	going on at the time, there was a lot of fires up in
L 9	California, and the skies were or the skies. I'm
20	sorry. It was a very dark area, and it was very, I
21	don't know what words you want to use, but alot of the
22	dust and the fallout from the fires were also in the
23	air, so it made vision a little bit worse.
24	Q. Well we have video camera footage of the
25	incident, so but we can see with our own eyes what it
	4 4

```
1
     looked like, right?
 2
         Α.
               I'm sorry.
                            What what looked like?
 3
         0.
               What the scene was, what the scene looked
 4
     like?
 5
         Α.
               Oh yes, sir.
 6
         Q.
               Okay. And of course you have flashlights?
 7
         Α.
               Yes, sir.
 8
         0.
               You carry those?
 9
         Α.
               Yes, sir.
10
               And tell me what equipment you carry.
         0.
11
         Α.
               On my qun belt?
12
         Q.
               On your person.
13
         Α.
               On my person, I have a Sig Sauer, P-226.
                                                            It's
14
     S-i-q S-a-u-er, I have two spare magazines. I have a
15
     body camera, a collapsible baton, two sets of handcuffs,
16
     a Taser and I have a flashlight in my pocket.
17
               Okay. And did you feel that your -- you could
18
     see well enough that you did not need additional
19
     lighting?
20
         Α.
               Yes, sir.
21
               Okay. So really you're not complaining about
         0.
22
     the visual that you had, correct?
23
         Α.
               No. No, I am.
24
         Q.
               Okay. So your visual was bad?
25
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection.
                                           Misstates his prior
                                                               45
```

```
1
     testimony.
2
               THE WITNESS: My eyesight vision was fine.
 3
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay.
 4
         Α.
               But the way the suspect came at me, I could
5
     not completely identify what was in his hands --
6
         0.
               Did he --
7
         Α.
               -- because his hands were cupped together.
8
               Did he run at you?
         0.
9
         Α.
               He did not run. He walked at a fast pace.
10
         Q.
               Okay. Have you been trained that
11
     redeployment, meaning changing your location is an
12
     option to consider?
13
                             Objection. Lacks foundation.
               MR. VIGILIA:
14
               Go ahead.
15
               THE WITNESS: It is an option to consider,
16
     yes.
           However, in this particular case, it was not an
17
     option.
18
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. We'll get to that.
                                                        I'm
19
     just asking you about your training.
20
         Α.
               Okay.
21
               So "redeployment," meaning changing your
22
     location is an option to consider?
2.3
         Α.
               Yes.
24
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
25
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. And when you have multiple
                                                              46
```

```
1
    people --
2
               THE REPORTER: If we can just slow down a bit.
 3
               THE WITNESS: I apologize, that was my fault.
 4
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. And when you have multiple
 5
    people, multiple officers present and one subject, and
 6
     you know where he is, then you have the ability to
7
     redeploy multiple officers, correct?
8
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
 9
     Incomplete hypothetical.
10
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
11
               MR. NISENBAUM: O. And we talked about
12
     wanting -- trying to slow a situation down, correct?
13
         Α.
               Yes.
14
         Q.
               And one way you can do that is by
15
     redeployment, correct?
16
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Leading.
                                                   Lacks
17
     foundation.
18
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
19
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. And Mr. Gonsalez
20
     never ran at you, correct?
21
         Α.
               No.
22
         0.
               No, he did not?
23
         Α.
               He did not run at me, no.
24
               Okay. And the object that you thought you
         Q.
25
     saw, you thought you saw a glint of something metallic,
                                                             47
```

```
1
     and you announced that you saw a knife at the scene,
2
     correct?
3
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Misstates the
4
     testimony. Lacks foundation.
5
               MR. NISENBAUM: Well, I'll strike that.
6
               Didn't you announce to other officers that
         0.
7
    he's got a knife --
8
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection.
9
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. -- meaning -- referring to
    Mr. Gonsalez?
10
11
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection.
                                          Leading.
12
                             No, I did not.
               THE WITNESS:
13
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. What did you say?
14
         Α.
               I told --
15
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
16
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Go ahead.
17
         Α.
               I told Mr. Gonsalez to drop the knife.
18
         Q.
               Drop the knife.
19
               Okay. So describe to me, you said you saw
20
     a -- a quick glint of something metallic. What size was
21
     the glint that you saw?
22
               I could not tell you.
23
         Q.
               Was it small; was it like an inch,
24
     inch-and-a-half, or less than that?
25
         Α.
               Again, I could not tell you.
                                                             48
```

```
1
         Q.
               Okay.
                       Tell me to the best of your
2
     recollection, was it a foot long?
 3
         Α.
               No.
 4
         Q.
               Okay.
                       Was it six inches long?
 5
         Α.
               No.
 6
         0.
               Okav.
                       Was it three inches long?
7
         Α.
               It could have been.
8
         Q.
               Okay. And what did you do to get a better
 9
     view of that?
10
         Α.
               I didn't do anything.
11
         0.
               Okay. You stood in place, correct?
12
         Α.
               Correct.
13
         0.
               Okay. And when you saw it, where was it?
14
               In his hands.
         Α.
15
         0.
               Which hand?
16
         Α.
               That, I couldn't tell you.
17
               Okay. And his hands were clasped over each
         Q.
18
     other, correct?
19
         Α.
               That is correct, sir.
20
               And were his hands up high, like in a stabbing
         Q.
21
     motion towards you?
22
         Α.
               They were pointing directly at me, so not high
23
     in a stabbing motion, no.
24
         Q.
               Weren't they waist height?
25
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
                                                               49
```

7	
1	Q. Okay. Well, we'll watch it today, so
2	A. Okay.
3	Q you know, we'll we'll get to it, and I
4	think you'll see then, what his hand position was, and
5	where it was.
6	Now, we are confirmed for Thursday right?
7	MR. VIGILIA: As far as I know.
8	MR. NISENBAUM: Okay. Give me one moment, I
9	just need to reconfirm.
L 0	THE REPORTER: Do you want to go off the
L1	record?
L2	MR. NISENBAUM: Sure.
L3	(Brief break taken 10:46 a.m. to 10:46 a.m.)
L 4	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. I wanted to go back to
L 5	your Taser training. What limitations have you been
L6	trained in well, strike that.
L7	Have you been trained that there are
L 8	limitations on the taser's effectiveness?
L 9	MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
21	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. And what what is
22	your understanding of what those limitations are?
23	A. Well, in order for a Taser to work, you have
24	to get what's called neuromuscular anyway, it's a
25	fancy term for locking up the muscle systems in the
	51

```
1
            One of the biggest problems you have is that the
2
     probes are not attaching properly to body due to their
3
     clothing, your distance from them so the spread is not
4
     long enough between the probes in order to get the good
 5
              The person's under the influence of alcohol or
6
            And phasers -- well, phasers. Tasers fail about
     50 percent of the time.
7
8
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Who told you Tasers fail
9
     about 50 percent of the time?
10
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection.
11
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q.
                                   Where did you hear that?
12
         Α.
               It's a national average from what I've been
13
     told for years.
14
         0.
               From who; is that in training?
15
         Α.
               Uh-huh.
16
               So you have training that says Tasers fail 50
         0.
17
     percent of the time?
18
         Α.
               I have tased people where it's gotten complete
19
     total 100 percent lockup on them, and they looked at me
20
     and laughed and pulled the probes out and attacked me.
21
         0.
               How many times has that happened?
22
         Α.
               A couple.
23
         0.
               How many times have you tased people?
24
         Α.
               Personally?
25
         Q.
               Yes.
                                                              52
```

```
1
         Α.
               20, 25.
 2
         0.
               Okay. So that's two out of 20, 25 times?
 3
               Uh-huh.
         Α.
 4
         Q.
               And were all those in probe deployment mode?
 5
         Α.
               In -- yes.
 6
               Okay. The taser can also be used in a
         Q.
 7
     different way, in touch-tase mode, correct?
 8
         Α.
               It's called drive-stun, yes, sir.
 9
         0.
               Right. And drive-stun is just pain
10
     compliance, correct?
11
         Α.
               Yes.
12
               So when we talk about the 20 or 25 times,
         Q.
13
     you're talking about probe mode?
14
         Α.
               Yes. I never drive-stunned anyone.
15
               Okay. And so if a person's wearing a
         0.
16
     hoodie -- well, you talked about the clothing that a
17
     person's wearing. What you need is -- is sufficient --
18
     the darts need to penetrate clothing sufficiently to hit
19
     the skin, correct?
20
         Α.
               Yes, sir.
21
               All right. So when a person's wearing a puffy
         0.
22
     jacket, it can be a problem?
23
         Α.
               Yes.
24
         0.
               But if a person's not wearing a puffy jacket,
25
     for example, if they are just wearing like a hoodie or
                                                              53
```

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Is that your understanding of how the taser
3	should function and should work?
4	A. That is correct.
5	Q. Okay. And the person's wearing a shirt,
6	right?
7	A. Yes.
8	MR. NISENBAUM: Okay. And then I'll make this
9	Exhibit B.
.0	And you have it already.
.1	(Whereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit B was marked
2	for identification.)
.3	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
4	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Exhibit B, this is from
. 5	the user manual for the X2. I think I said M2, but
. 6	that's actually the old one, the X26, right?
_7	A. Yes.
8_	Q. Okay. So the X2. Do you know if you the
_9	one that you had was the one that had dual cartridges,
20	correct?
21	A. Yes, sir.
22	Q. Okay. So you had two cartridges, meaning if
23	one cartridge failed, you had a backup cartridge?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Okay. Without having to change the cartridge?
	58

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. And so we have a similar illustration,
3	and that is of a person this one the person's holding
4	a crowbar, apparently threatening the officer with a
5	crowbar. The officer tases the person from a distance,
6	and they fall down, correct?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Again, this is this is what Taser says
9	should happen, correct?
10	MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Calls for
11	speculation. Lacks foundation.
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. And it was your
14	understanding that that's how the Taser is supposed to
15	work and function at the time that this incident
16	occurred, correct?
17	A. That's another difficult question to answer
18	with just a yes or no answer.
19	Q. Okay. Explain.
20	A. You're again talking in a perfect world. And
21	I've already explained to you that half the time a Taser
22	does not work on a person. So if you want to go by the
23	perfect world of what Taser International says, yes,
24	then it should have could have could have
25	functioned that way.

1	Q.	So instead of shooting him, you got out of
2	your car,	right?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	Okay. And what did you do?
5	Α.	I got out of my car, took out my gun, pointed
6	it at him	, and told him to drop the knife.
7	Q.	And who else was present, what other officers?
8	Α.	No one.
9	Q.	Okay. And then he turned away from the person
10	he was ta	lking to?
11		MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
12		MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Well, strike that. Let me
13	go back.	
14		I know that you said that he sounded angry,
15	right?	
16		MR. VIGILIA: Objection. I don't misstates
17	the testin	mony.
18		MR. NISENBAUM: Q. You heard you couldn't
19	tell the	words that Mr. Gonsalez was saying, but it
20	sounded l	ike he was, what?
21	Α.	I didn't hear anything he said at all, so I
22	couldn't	tell you if he was angry or not.
23	Q.	Was he yelling?
24	Α.	<pre>I that, I don't recall.</pre>
25	Q.	Did you see his face at that time?
		67

```
1
         Α.
               No.
 2
         Q.
               Okay. Did you see the other person's face?
 3
               The girl?
         Α.
 4
         Q.
               Yeah.
 5
         Α.
               Yes.
 6
               Okay. And what did her face look like?
         Q.
 7
         Α.
               Scared.
 8
         Q.
               Okay. So she looked scared. And did it look
 9
     like Mr. Gonsalez was holding on to her?
10
         Α.
               He was -- he did not -- my recollection, he
11
     was not touching her.
12
               Okay.
                       So she looked scared. But Mr. Gonsalez
13
     was not touching her. How close to her was she -- was
14
     he?
15
         Α.
               He was close enough to stab her.
16
         Q.
               How close was he to her, how many feet?
17
         Α.
               A foot away.
18
         0.
               One foot away.
19
               Okay. Because you would agree, I'm close
20
     enough to stab you, right?
21
         Α.
               Uh-huh.
22
               Okay. All right. Now you're coming to this,
23
     having been primed by the call that says there's a
24
     knife, right?
25
         Α.
               Yes.
                                                               68
```

```
1
         Q.
               Okay.
                      You arrive, you don't see a knife,
 2
     right?
 3
               MR. VIGILIA:
                              Objection. Leading.
                                                     Misstates
 4
     the testimony.
 5
               MR. NISENBAUM: O. You don't recall what you
 6
     see, but you don't have a recollection of seeing a
 7
     knife?
 8
         Α.
               Correct.
 9
         0.
                     You could tell that there is some
10
     dispute between -- some confrontation between
11
     Mr. Gonsalez and the other person, the woman, correct?
12
         Α.
               Yes.
13
         0.
               And what else did the call say?
14
         Α.
               The dispatch call?
15
         Q.
               Yes, that you responded to.
16
         Α.
               That he was trying to stab a male.
17
         0.
               Okay. And did you see the male?
18
         Α.
               He was right in front of my patrol car.
19
               Okay. Did it look like he was -- had been
         0.
20
     stabbed?
21
         Α.
               No.
22
         Q.
               Okay. He didn't appear to be injured at all,
23
     correct?
24
                              Objection.
                                          Lacks foundation.
               MR. VIGILIA:
25
               THE WITNESS:
                              Correct.
                                                              69
```

```
1
               MR. NISENBAUM:
                                Q.
                                    Okay. And did you ask
 2
     him, what's going on?
 3
         Α.
               No.
 4
         Q.
               Did you ask him any questions?
 5
         Α.
               No.
 6
               Did he give you any information?
         Q.
 7
         Α.
               He said, that's the guy right there, and
 8
     pointed to Mr. Gonsalez. Then he ran directly toward
 9
     him, and grabbed the girl and pulled her out of the way.
10
               Okay. So he grabbed the girl, pulled her out
         0.
11
     of the way.
12
               Did Mr. Gonsalez try to go after the girl at
13
     that time?
14
         Α.
               No.
15
         0.
               Did he try to go after the man at that time?
16
         Α.
               No.
17
         Q.
               Okay. So the threat to that person, to the
     girl had been removed, correct?
18
19
         Α.
               No.
20
               Okay. Well, in what way? He didn't run after
         0.
21
     her?
22
               She was still in close proximity, so he could
         Α.
23
     at any time.
24
         Q.
               So he could at any time.
25
               You never saw him threaten her?
                                                               70
```

```
1
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
2
    Leading.
             Calls for an expert opinion. Calls for
3
     speculation.
 4
               THE WITNESS: It's possible.
5
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. I mean, what else
6
    would you take those words to mean?
7
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Calls for
8
     speculation.
9
               THE WITNESS: I've had people say that to me
10
    before.
11
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. So it could be
12
     suicidal, could not be suicidal?
13
        Α.
               Yes.
14
               Did it appear that Mr. Gonsalez appeared to be
         Q.
15
     intoxicated?
16
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Calls for
17
     speculation.
18
               THE WITNESS: I could not tell.
19
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. Did you smell like
20
     the odor of alcohol from him?
21
        Α.
               He would -- never got close enough to me to
22
     smell that.
23
         0.
               Okay. So there was nothing specific that
24
     appeared about him that caused you to think that he was
25
     intoxicated, correct?
                                                             72
```

1	A. Correct.
2	Q. Okay. And there was nothing specific that
3	caused you to think that he was mentally impaired,
4	correct?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. And there was nothing specific that caused you
7	to think that he was suicidal, correct?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. Okay. So you thought that he was not
10	threatening you?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. And you were at your patrol car?
13	A. Just to the left of it, yes.
14	Q. Okay. Were you using the door as cover?
15	A. Partially.
16	Q. And this is the driver's side door?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. And were you standing kind of in the
19	"V" of the door?
20	A. No. I was a little bit further out.
21	Q. A little bit further to the left, meaning left
22	of the driver's side door, or back closer to the trunk?
23	A. No. Left of the driver's side door.
24	Q. Okay. Was there anything that was blocking
25	you from behind?
	70

1	A. I don't believe so.
2	Q. Okay. I take it you took a moment to glance
3	around at your surroundings?
4	A. No.
5	MR. VIGILIA: Objection.
6	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. You did not.
7	Okay. Were you aware that were you aware
8	that you had the ability to change your location?
9	A. Again, another difficult question to answer.
.0	Yes, I was aware I could have moved.
.1	Q. Okay. Now were you aware that other officers
_2	were arriving?
.3	A. No.
4	Q. You called it in, that you were responding,
_5	correct?
. 6	A. Yes.
_7	Q. Okay. And you have your radio open, meaning,
-8	I assume you had an earpiece in?
9	A. No, I don't use an ear piece, and I don't use
20	a shoulder mic.
21	Q. Were you able to hear your radio from your
22	car?
23	A. Yes. Right.
24	Q. Okay. So did you hear were you listening
25	to it?
	74

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. Now is there any reason why you didn't
3	have your Taser at your disposal?
4	A. You don't bring less lethal to a lethal force
5	encounter.
6	Q. It doesn't make any sense.
7	A. It makes perfect sense.
8	Q. No. I mean the taser the knife is only
9	if there is a knife and you've used a taser, you
10	said, against people who have had weapons in their hand,
11	right?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Okay. So what type of what type of weapons
14	have you used against people have had strike that.
15	What type of weapons have people had in their
16	hands that you've used a taser against?
17	A. Meat cleavers.
18	Q. Okay.
19	A. Machetes.
20	Q. These are deadly weapons, aren't they?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Far more deadly than razor blades, right?
23	A. I didn't know he had a razor blade. I thought
24	he had a knife. That's the only information I had.
25	Q. Well, I get that. But you also have your
	80

```
1
    have shot him if you were aware that it was a razor
2
    blade, right?
3
               That's not true at all.
4
                      So -- so if it was a razor blade, it
        0.
               Okay.
5
    doesn't matter. Knife, razor blade, whatever, same
6
     scenario, you knew it was a razor blade, you would have
7
     shot him, correct?
8
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Calls for
     speculation. Lacks foundation. Incomplete
9
10
    hypothetical.
11
               THE WITNESS: I can't answer that.
12
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q.
                                   Why not?
13
        Α.
               I just -- because I can't answer it. I don't
14
    have an answer for that question.
15
         Q.
               Okay.
16
               I can't -- I can't -- I've already explained
17
    to you that there is very little black and white in
18
    police work. We operate in gray. Okay? So I can't
19
     answer, oh, it was a razor blade, I would have shot him
20
     anyway.
              I don't know what I would have done.
21
               Do you believe that three well-trained
22
    officers encountering a man with a razor blade, who had
23
    threatened people with a razor blade, who all three
24
    officers have Tasers on their person, that they wouldn't
25
    be able to take the person into custody without shooting
                                                             90
```

```
him?
 1
 2
         Α.
               I didn't know --
 3
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Calls for
 4
     speculation.
                   Lacks foundation. Incomplete
 5
     hypothetical. Compound.
 6
               THE WITNESS: Answer?
 7
               MR. VIGILIA:
                              Yes.
 8
               MR. NISENBAUM: O.
                                    Yes.
               I didn't know there were other two officers
 9
         Α.
10
     there.
             I thought I was alone.
11
         Q.
               Well, you didn't hear sirens approaching?
12
         Α.
               No.
13
         0.
               Why not?
14
         Α.
               Lots of reasons.
15
               Auditory exclusion?
         Q.
16
         Α.
               That's one of them.
17
               Is that a term you've been...
         Q.
18
               THE REPORTER: Excuse me?
19
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Is that a term you've been
20
     trained in?
21
         Α.
               Yes.
22
         0.
               So -- I'm sorry. Did you actually ask for
23
     backup?
24
                    As you said, I already knew they were
         Α.
25
     coming just via the radio.
                                                               91
```

```
1
                       But you don't recall listening to see
         Q.
               Okav.
 2
     if you could tell how close they were?
 3
         Α.
               No.
 4
         Q.
               No, you do not?
 5
         Α.
               No, I don't. I don't recall listen --
 6
     assessing that at all.
 7
         0.
               I take it you don't recall assessing the use
 8
     of other force options short of lethal force, correct?
 9
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Misstates testimony.
10
     Leading.
11
               THE WITNESS: I didn't have time.
12
               MR. NISENBAUM: O. You didn't do it --
13
         Α.
               No --
14
         0.
               -- correct?
15
         Α.
               -- because I didn't have time.
16
         0.
               My question to you, did you assess whether or
17
     not other less lethal options would be viable?
18
         Α.
               Yeah.
19
         0.
               You did?
20
               I did, yes.
         Α.
21
               When did you do that, was it before you saw
         0.
22
     the glinty thing, or after?
23
         Α.
               Driving all the way up from where I was
24
     originally all the way up to the call.
25
         0.
               Okay.
                       So in driving, did you think -- what
                                                               93
```

```
1
     did you think, how did you make your assessment?
 2
         Α.
               What do you mean by that?
 3
         0.
               Well, you said that you assessed other less
 4
     lethal force options. How did you make that assessment?
 5
         Α.
               You always think about it.
 6
         Q.
               What did you think about in this case? Not
 7
     what you always think about.
 8
         Α.
               Taser, 40 millimeter launcher.
 9
               Okay. And did you think Taser check, yes, I
         Q.
10
     have that on me, it's right on my belt here?
11
         Α.
               Yeah, every day I go out.
12
               I'm not asking every day. I'm asking
         Q.
13
     specifically what you thought on this day, responding to
14
     this scene?
15
               Yes, sir.
         Α.
16
               Okay. So you thought taser. So you knew you
17
     had the taser available, correct?
18
         Α.
               Yes.
19
               And you thought OC spray, you had that
20
     available?
21
         Α.
               Yes.
22
               What else did you think? You said 40
         0.
23
     millimeter launcher, you didn't have that in your car,
24
     correct?
25
         Α.
               I didn't, but I knew a sergeant was
                                                              94
```

1	responding.
2	Q. Okay. And you knew that these are weapons
3	that could only be used if they were out and available,
4	correct?
5	A. Yes.
6	MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Argumentative.
7	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. And did you think
8	how you would be able to use each of these force options
9	in the situation? Did you think what you would do to be
10	able to use these force options?
11	A. Yes.
12	MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Compound.
13	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. And what was your plan?
14	A. Again, this is another one of those questions
15	that's very difficult to answer, because of the way
16	you're phrasing it. You always have a plan if again,
17	and this is the big if, the suspect allows you to
18	implement it. Again, you can laugh and shake your head
19	all you want, but he dictated what happened that night,
20	not me. Had he just stood there in the middle of the
21	street and not come at me, we would have put together a
22	plan. He didn't give me the time to do that.
23	Q. What do you think what do you see your job
24	as? What did you see your job as, the purpose of it?
25	MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Vague.

```
1
               THE WITNESS:
                             My job at that moment of the
 2
     incident, or my job overall?
 3
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Your job as a police
 4
     officer, period, overall?
 5
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Vague. Calls for a
 6
     narrative.
 7
               THE WITNESS:
                             My job overall is to protect our
 8
     public and enforce the laws of the State of California.
 9
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. And did you see
10
     part of your job being to help people?
11
         Α.
               Absolutely.
12
         Q.
               Okay. But when you have a plan -- you said
13
     you always come to a situation with a plan, right?
14
         Α.
               Yes.
15
         0.
               And the plan, you want to minimize the damage
16
     that you inflict, correct?
17
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
18
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. You want to stop the harm
19
     inflicted by others, but you want to also minimize the
20
     damage that you inflict?
21
               MR. VIGILIA:
                             Objection.
                                         Lacks foundation.
22
               THE WITNESS:
                             Whenever possible, yes, sir.
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. And that's one of
23
24
     the reasons -- you understood that was one of the
25
     reasons why you've been given a taser, right?
```

```
1
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
 2
    Leading.
 3
               THE WITNESS: A Taser was not called for in
 4
    this situation.
 5
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. I asked you, you
 6
    understood that one reason you've been given a Taser is
 7
    because it limits the harm that you inflict?
 8
        Α.
               Yes.
 9
         Q.
               Okay. And you say a Taser wasn't called for
10
     in this situation, but you're -- you have no reason to
11
    believe that the Taser would not have worked against the
12
    man, correct?
13
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Leading. Lacks
     foundation. Calls for speculation.
14
15
               THE WITNESS: I have no reason to believe it
16
    wouldn't have, no.
17
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. And he wasn't wearing a
18
    puffy jacket, correct?
19
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
20
    Leading.
21
               THE WITNESS: To best of my recollection, no.
22
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. His clothing was
23
    appropriate for the Taser to be used against, correct?
24
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Vague and ambiguous
25
    to the term "appropriate." Lacks foundation.
```

```
1
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Based on your attorney.
2
         Α.
               Yes.
3
               Okay. You couldn't tell that he was
         Ο.
4
     intoxicated, correct?
5
         Α.
               One more time.
6
         0.
               You could not tell if he was intoxicated,
7
     correct?
8
         Α.
               Correct.
9
               You couldn't tell that he was suffering from
         0.
10
     any psychotic break that would have caused him to not
11
     feel pain, correct?
12
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Calls for expert
13
     opinion.
               Lacks foundation.
14
               THE WITNESS: Correct.
15
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. He didn't appear to be
16
     suffering from excited delirium, right?
17
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
18
     Calls for expert opinion.
19
               THE WITNESS: No.
20
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. He wasn't sweating
21
     profusely, correct?
22
               I couldn't tell that.
23
         Q.
               But you didn't see him sweating profusely,
24
     correct?
25
         Α.
               No.
                                                           98
```

```
1
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Misstates testimony.
2
    Lacks foundation.
 3
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. No, you did not?
 4
         Α.
               No, I did not.
 5
         0.
               Okay. So -- and you've been trained that the
 6
     taser should be of limited exposure against people who
 7
     exhibit symptoms of excited delirium, correct?
 8
               MR. VIGILIA:
                             Objection. Lacks foundation.
 9
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
10
               MR. NISENBAUM: O. And that's not because a
11
     Taser won't work against them, but because the Taser
12
     could contribute to potentially killing them, correct?
13
               MR. VIGILIA:
                             Objection. Lacks foundation.
14
               THE WITNESS: That's correct.
15
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. But those -- that
16
     wasn't present in this case?
17
         Α.
               No.
18
               So if you were to use the taser against
         Q.
19
     Mr. Gonsalez and it's effective, then he's alive and
20
     everyone is good, right?
21
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Calls for
22
     speculation. Argumentative.
23
               THE WITNESS: I don't know.
24
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. So if you use a Taser
25
     against him, how does he die?
                                                            99
```

```
1
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Calls for
2
     speculation.
3
               MR. NISENBAUM: O. How does Mr. Gonsalez die?
               I don't know how Mr. Gonsalez died. But I
4
         Α.
5
     could have died if I used a Taser and it didn't work.
6
               I assume that once you use a Taser it's a
7
     dynamic situation, and you could continue to do other
8
     things such as redeploy, change your location, drop the
9
     taser if it doesn't work, and then resort to lethal
10
     force?
11
               By the time --
         Α.
12
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Objection.
                                                      Lacks
13
     foundation. Compound. Calls for speculation.
14
               THE WITNESS: By the time I did that, he would
15
     have already been on top of me, stabbing me.
16
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Really?
17
         Α.
               Really.
18
         Q.
               He wasn't running at you.
19
               It doesn't mean -- he could have changed and
         Α.
20
     started running at any time.
21
         0.
               Things could change all the time, right?
22
         Α.
               (Nods head.)
23
         Q.
               But you have to deal with what's been
24
     happening. He didn't show that indication, correct?
25
         Α.
               Correct.
                                                            100
```

1	Q. So it wasn't like he started to run, and then
2	you shot him, correct?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. There was nothing about his pace that changed
5	causing you to shoot him, correct?
6	A. No.
7	Q. No, it was not?
8	A. No.
9	Q. I'm sorry. No, it was not?
10	A. No, it was not. No. He yeah, no.
11	Q. Just prompting you because there was a double
12	negative in the question, so I just need to follow up to
13	make clear what you're asking what you're saying,
14	though, too.
15	A. Okay.
16	Q. So when you you say you always have a plan.
17	When you you came to this incident, and by the time
18	you got out of the car, your plan did not include the
19	use of less lethal alternatives, correct?
20	MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
21	Misstates the evidence.
22	THE WITNESS: Correct.
23	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. The only option
24	that you had was a lethal option at the time you shot
25	and killed him, because it was in your hand; is that
	101

```
1
     right?
2
               MR. VIGILIA:
                             Objection.
                                          Lacks foundation.
3
               THE WITNESS:
                             Another hard question to answer.
4
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. I'll rephrase it.
5
     You -- if you had chosen to, you could have put the
6
     lethal option away, and you could have pulled out the
7
     less lethal option, the Taser, correct?
8
               MR. VIGILIA:
                                          Incomplete
                             Objection.
9
     hypothetical. Calls for speculation.
10
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
11
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. Thank you.
12
               And were you keeping that option open in your
13
    mind?
14
         Α.
               Yes.
15
         Q.
               Okay. Well, with that in mind, we'll go
16
     through the video with you. Strike that.
17
               Did you give him a warning that you would
18
     shoot?
19
         Α.
               I did not.
20
         Q.
               Okay. Now you're familiar with -- with the
21
     Hayward Police Department use of force policy, correct?
22
         Α.
               Yes.
23
               And aren't you supposed to give a warning that
         Q.
24
     you'll use lethal force against the person?
25
         Α.
               When feasible.
                                                            102
```

```
1
         Q.
               Riaht.
                       You're supposed to say -- are there
 2
     particular words you're supposed to say?
 3
         Α.
               No.
 4
         Q.
               Okay. Well, you could say something like,
 5
     stop or I'll shoot, right?
 6
         Α.
               Yes.
 7
         0.
               Give a command followed by, or I'll shoot?
 8
         Α.
               Yes.
 9
         0.
               Some people say, or you'll be shot?
10
         Α.
               Yes.
11
         Q.
               Okay.
                       Did you think about giving a warning in
12
     this situation?
13
         Α.
               That I would shoot him?
14
         0.
               Yeah.
15
         Α.
               No.
16
               Okay. And the reason you didn't give a
         0.
17
     warning is that because he was already saying, you're
18
     going to have to shoot me?
19
         Α.
               Well, no. It was pretty obvious, I was
20
     pointing a gun at him, and I told him to stop. I told
21
     him to drop the knife three times, and stop. It's
22
     pretty obvious what was going to happen next.
23
         Q.
               Maybe to you, but you're not in his shoes.
24
         Α.
               No.
25
         0.
               You don't know whether or not he is suffering
                                                              103
```

```
from a mental impairment, or is intoxicated, or is not
 1
 2
     comprehending the situation, correct?
 3
               That's correct.
         Α.
 4
               And so part of making sure that you give a
         Ο.
 5
     verbal warning is to reinforce the physical reality of
 6
     the situation, correct?
 7
               MR. VIGILIA:
                             Objection. Lacks foundation,
 8
     leading.
               Incomplete hypothetical.
 9
               THE WITNESS: Correct.
10
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. So when was the
11
     last time that you gave consideration to using your
12
     Taser in the situation?
13
         Α.
               I don't recall.
14
         0.
               Okay. Do you recall ever giving consideration
15
     to using your Taser?
16
         Α.
               Yes.
17
         0.
               Okay. When was the first time that you recall
18
     giving consideration to using your Taser in this
19
     incident?
20
         Α.
               While driving to the call.
21
         Q.
               Okay. Do you recall giving further
2.2
     consideration to using your Taser apart from while
23
     driving?
24
         Α.
               No.
25
         0.
               Okay. So it's your recollection, the first
                                                             104
```

1	and last time that you can recall giving consideration
2	to using your Taser was while you were driving to the
3	situation, correct?
4	A. That's correct.
5	Q. Okay. And was that before you had actually
6	seen Mr. Gonsalez?
7	A. Oh, yes.
8	Q. Okay. So the first and last time that you can
9	recall giving consideration to using your Taser was
10	while driving to scene before you had scene
11	Mr. Gonsalez, correct?
12	A. Can you say that one more time.
13	Q. The first and last time that you can recall
14	giving consideration to using your Taser was while
15	driving to the scene before you had seen Mr. Gonsalez,
16	correct?
17	MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Compound. Asked and
18	answered.
19	Go ahead.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
21	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. Thank you.
22	THE REPORTER: Are we going to go off the
23	record to look at the video?
24	MR. NISENBAUM: What's that?
25	THE REPORTER: Are we going to go off the
	105

```
1
    donated them to our -- we gave them to our friend who is
2
    nurse, because she didn't have enough for her, so this
3
     is like the last one, actually.
4
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. So I'm playing from
5
    a video file that is titled -- produced by the defense,
6
    titled AXON Body 2 Video 2018-11-15, 2115.mp4. And I'll
7
    hit play.
8
               (Video playing.)
9
               MR. NISENBAUM: O. It's at 11 seconds now.
10
     It's silent, although there is video footage on it.
11
               And the audio will pick up.
12
               That's the 30 second buffer.
         Α.
13
         0.
               I'll take the privacy screen off of it.
14
         Α.
               I didn't even know it was on.
15
         0.
               Rodgers made us put them on our computers.
16
               I'll just go ahead and pause it here at 01:10.
                      So first of all, the 30 second period
17
18
    where there is video, but no audio, that's -- that 30
19
     seconds will proceed when you activate the body cam,
20
     correct?
21
         Α.
               Correct.
22
               MR. VIGILIA:
                             Objection. Lacks foundation.
23
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. And do you know --
24
               I paused it at 01:10.
25
               Are you already responding to the call, if you
                                                            107
```

1	know?
2	A. Yes, sir.
3	Q. And is the reason why you activated the body
4	cam, because you're responding to the call?
5	A. Yes, sir.
6	Q. Okay. And so you've already got the
7	information from dispatch that the person had been
8	threatened with a knife?
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	Q. Okay. And of course you knew nothing about
11	Mr. Gonsalez's background, correct?
12	MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
13	Leading.
14	THE WITNESS: I did not.
15	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. You didn't
16	recognize well, strike that.
17	You weren't even given his name, were you?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Okay.
20	A. I only learned his name from about two or
21	three months after.
22	Q. Okay. We're continuing at 01:10.
23	So before I continue, it's fair to say that
24	nothing of Mr. Gonsalez's background informed your
25	conduct, correct?
	108

```
1
               MR. VIGILIA:
                             Objection.
                                          Lacks foundation.
 2
               THE WITNESS: Correct.
 3
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Thank you.
 4
               Continuing at 01:10.
 5
               (Playing video.)
 6
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Pausing at 02:57.
7
               Someone said, "What the fuck!," or something
     like that?
8
 9
         Α.
               That was me.
10
         0.
               Okay. And what was that in response to?
11
               All I can hear was someone whistling. I just
12
     couldn't tell where he was whistling from, so I was
13
     dumbfounded, where is this guy calling me from?
     couldn't find him.
14
15
         0.
               Okay. Meaning there was a whistle you heard
16
     outside the car --
17
         Α.
               Yes, sir.
18
         Q.
               -- as opposed to from your radio?
19
         Α.
               Yeah.
20
               All right. 02:58, continue.
         0.
21
               (Playing video.)
22
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Let me ask you one other
23
     question at 03:05, pausing that.
24
               What -- you're familiar with this area; it's
25
     your beat, right?
                                                            109
```

```
1
     response was conditioned on your belief that he had a
2
     knife, correct --
3
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection.
 4
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. -- that Mr. Gonsalez had a
5
     knife?
 6
               MR. VIGILIA:
                             Objection. Lacks foundation.
7
               THE WITNESS:
                             I would say it's fair to say.
8
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. All right. Now I'm
9
     going to play from 03:51.
10
               (Playing video.)
11
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. So how many shots did you
12
     fire?
13
               I paused it at 03:54.
14
         Α.
               I don't know. I have no idea.
15
         Q.
               Even as you sit here?
16
         Α.
               Even as I sit here, I don't know.
17
         0.
               Okay. Once he stopped moving, why was he
18
     shot?
19
         Α.
               I don't understand the question. Stopped
20
    moving, where?
21
         Q.
               Towards you.
22
         Α.
               I didn't see him stop moving.
23
         Q.
               Okay. Well, at 03:54 -- let's go back.
24
               Back to 03:49 for context. And if you can
25
     tell me -- I want you to watch this and tell me why he
                                                            130
```

```
1
     was shot after he stopped moving.
2
                (Playing video.)
 3
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. And again, he stopped
 4
     moving towards you?
 5
               My perception at that time at that moment was
 6
     he was not stopping moving. He was still coming at me.
7
         Ο.
               But it's clear from the video that that's not
     the case?
 9
               I don't care about the video. The video
         Α.
10
     doesn't mean anything. You weren't the one standing
11
     there.
12
         0.
               Okay.
13
         Α.
               I was.
                        In my perception, he was still moving
     at that moment in time.
14
15
         0.
               So --
16
               Remember, we're not going to do the hindsight
17
     20/20 thing again, remember that?
18
               I don't --
         0.
19
         Α.
               So my perception at that moment in time was he
20
     was coming at me.
21
         Q.
               Right.
22
         Α.
               I don't care what the video says.
23
         Q.
               So you're saying that if your perception is
24
     unreasonable, or if your -- strike that.
25
               If your perception is inaccurate, then it
                                                             131
```

```
1
    doesn't matter --
2
               MR. VIGILIA:
                             Objection. Argumentative.
3
               MR. NISENBAUM: O. -- is that correct?
4
               MR. VIGILIA:
                             Objection. Argumentative.
5
               THE WITNESS:
                             My perception was not inaccurate
6
    at the time.
7
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Well, I -- you've been
8
    trained that you're supposed -- that you shoot until the
9
    threat stops, correct?
10
         Α.
               That is correct.
11
               In this case, it's fair to say that the threat
12
     stops once he stops moving towards you, correct?
13
         Α.
               No.
14
               MR. VIGILIA:
                             Objection. Lacks foundation.
15
               THE WITNESS:
                             That's not true at all.
16
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. Well, how can he be
    a threat if he's not moving towards you?
17
18
         Α.
               He was moving toward me.
                                         That was my
19
    perception at the time was that he was moving toward me.
20
         0.
               But we see the video.
21
         Α.
               Again, I don't care what the video says.
22
         0.
               So forget reality?
23
         Α.
               We're not going to go back on the 20/20
24
    hindsight. At my time, standing right there, he was
25
     coming at me.
                                                            132
```

1	Q. Okay. So your view is that your judgment is
2	not to be questioned? If the video is contrary to your
3	recollection, you know, your judgment, your recollection
4	is not to be questioned; is that correct?
5	A. No.
6	MR. VIGILIA: Objection. That's
7	argumentative.
8	THE WITNESS: You can question my rec all
9	of I'm not saying that.
10	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Well, you just said that.
11	A. Okay. I can only go off what was going on at
12	the time.
13	Q. Okay. Once he stops moving, you would agree
14	that there is no basis to shoot him, correct?
15	A. No.
16	MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Incomplete
17	hypothetical. Calls for speculation.
18	MR. NISENBAUM: Q. The reason you shot him is
19	because he was moving towards you with what you thought
20	was a knife, correct?
21	A. That is correct.
22	Q. Okay. Once he stops moving towards you, you
23	would agree that there is no basis to shoot him?
24	A. I would not agree.
25	MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Lacks foundation.
	133

```
1
               THE WITNESS: So I just basically left my body
2
     cam on until ...
 3
               THE REPORTER: So I just basically left my
4
    body cam on until?
 5
               THE WITNESS: The watch commander told me to
 6
     turn it off.
7
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. I understand. And then
8
     there is another body cam clip after this.
9
               (Video playing.)
10
               THE WITNESS: And that was me again.
11
               MR. NISENBAUM: I understand. I got it. I'll
12
     ask you questions.
13
               THE WITNESS:
                             Okay. I'm sorry.
14
               (Video still playing.)
15
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. No, I get it. But I just
16
     have to review both of them and make sure I have
17
     everything on it.
18
               (Playing video.)
19
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. 07:45, that was your voice
20
     saying, "over a razor blade"?
21
         Α.
               Uh-huh.
22
         0.
               Okay. And what is -- why were you saying
23
     that, "over a razor blade"?
24
         Α.
               I couldn't tell you.
25
               Is it because you couldn't believe that it was
         Ο.
                                                            140
```

```
1
     a razor blade and not a knife?
2
               I -- I could not tell you, sir.
         Α.
 3
               MR. VIGILIA:
                             Objection. Leading.
4
               THE WITNESS: I don't even remember saying it
5
     until I just saw it.
6
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. I understand. It's one of
7
     those things where you're thinking out loud?
8
               It could have been.
         Α.
9
         Q.
               All right. 07:46. Continuing.
10
               (Playing video.)
11
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Pausing at 08:57.
12
     was your voice saying, "Yeah, that's what he said.
13
     came at me with a knife and he said, You're going to
14
    have to shoot me"?
15
         Α.
               Yes, that's my voice.
16
         Q.
               08:57. Continuing.
17
               (Playing video.)
18
               MR. NISENBAUM: Q. Okay. Now I'll go to the
19
    next one. And this is a file that is much shorter, it's
20
     labeled -- or titled, AXON Body 2 Vid 8-11-15 2348.mp4.
21
               (Playing video.)
22
               MR. NISENBAUM: I'll do it this way.
23
         0.
               We are now at the next video is titled, AXON
24
    Body 2 Video, 2018-11-15 2348.mp4.
25
               And it's a 02:57 clip -- and 57 second clip,
                                                            141
```

```
1
     what you thought was a knife, what steps did you take to
 2
     reacquire sight of it?
 3
               I just kept focusing on his hands.
         Α.
 4
         0.
               Okay. And you didn't see it again?
 5
         Α.
               That is correct.
 6
               And you would agree that the certain way to
         Q.
 7
     ensure that a Taser does not work is to not use it -	ext{--}
 8
               MR. VIGILIA: Objection. Argumentative.
 9
               MR. NISENBAUM:
                                0.
                                   -- correct?
10
               THE WITNESS: A certain way?
11
               MR. NISENBAUM: O.
                                    To be the 100 percent
12
     certain way to ensure that Taser will not work, is if
13
     you don't use it, correct?
14
               MR. VIGILIA:
                              Objection. Argumentative.
15
               THE WITNESS:
                              I quess so, yeah.
16
               MR. NISENBAUM: Thank you.
                                            No further
17
     questions.
18
               MR. VIGILIA:
                              Thank you.
19
                             Do you have any questions?
               THE REPORTER:
20
               MR. VIGILIA:
                              No.
21
               THE REPORTER:
                              And I just need to get copy
22
     orders on the record.
23
               MR. NISENBAUM: Always, right.
24
               THE REPORTER: Yeah, yeah.
25
               MR. VIGILIA: A copy of what?
                                                             147
```

```
1
               THE REPORTER: Do you want -- you want it
2
     expedited, right?
 3
               MR. VIGILIA: Yes.
 4
               THE REPORTER: And did you have a particular
 5
     date in mind, because they'll ask me for it.
 6
               MR. VIGILIA: For -- let's just say by next --
7
     what's next Friday?
8
               MR. NISENBAUM: No, I'm sorry. Let me go back
 9
     on record. I forgot one question.
10
               THE REPORTER: We're still on the record.
11
12
              CONTINUED EXAMINATION BY MR. NISENBAUM
13
               MR. NISENBAUM:
                               Okay.
                                       Great.
14
         Q.
               I forgot one question. Do you do a spark -- I
15
     forgot one area.
16
               Do you do a spark test at the start of your
17
     shift?
18
         Α.
               Yes.
19
         0.
               So you knew that your taser was functioning?
20
         Α.
               Yes.
21
         0.
               Okay.
                      There is no issue about it being
22
     charged, not being charged or functioning, not
23
     functioning, correct?
24
         Α.
               No.
25
         0.
               It was charged and working?
                                                            148
```

```
1
         Α.
                Yes.
 2
                MR. NISENBAUM: Thank you. No further
 3
     questions.
 4
                THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
                MR. VIGILIA: So by Friday, April 3rd.
 5
 6
                THE REPORTER: Friday?
 7
                MR. VIGILIA: Yes, April 3rd.
 8
 9
                (Deposition concluded at 12:31 p.m.)
10
11
                               --000--
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                           149
```

1	REPORTER CERTIFICATE
2	I, Lisa M. Boschetti-Maurino, hereby certify that
3	the witness in the foregoing deposition was by me placed
4	under oath to testify to the truth, the whole truth and
5	nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause; that
6	said deposition was taken at the time and place therein
7	named; that the testimony of said witness was reported
8	by me, a certified shorthand reporter and a
9	disinterested person, and thereafter transcribed into
10	typewriting.
11	Pursuant to Federal Rule 30(e), transcript review
12	was requested.
13	I further certify that I have no interest in the
14	outcome of this action. And I further certify that I am
15	not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the
16	parties to said deposition, nor in any way interested in
17	the outcome of the cause named in said caption.
18	
19	In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand
20	
21	this 3rd day of April 2020.
22	
23	
24	/s/Lisa M. Boschetti-Maurino
25	Certified Shorthand Reporter State of California, CSR#9389
	151